### **Categorical Exclusion Documentation**

UNS Gas Renewal/Amendment/Temporary Use Permit DOI-BLM-P010-2013-0015-CX

## A. Background

BLM Office: Hassayampa Field Office (HFO)

Lease/Serial/Case File No.: AZA-17959

Proposed Action Title/Type: R/W renewal, amendment and TUP to UNS Gas Inc.

Location of Proposed Action (Amendment and Temporary Use Permit. Renewal is for entire original authorization):

T.11 N., R 2 E., G&SRM, Arizona section 8, S½SE¼SW¼; section 17, N½NE¼NW¼.

Description of Proposed Action: UNS Gas Inc. filed an application for the renewal and amendment of AZA-17959. The proposed amendment would involve the installation of a 14 gallon odorizing tank as well as an emergency shut off valve all enclosed by a 20' X 20' X 6' chain link fence (total of 0.94 acres). Included in the application was a request for a Temporary Use Permit (TUP) to be issued in association with the amendment for access and construction purposes (0.11 acres). The R/W would be renewed for a 30 year term.

#### **B. Land Use Plan Conformance**

Land Use Plan (LUP) Name: The Bradshaw-Harquahala Resource Management Plan (RMP). This proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with these plans (43 CFR 1610.5-3, BLM Manual 1601.04.C.2) Date Approved: April 2010

	The prop	osed action	is in cont	formance	with the	applicable [	LUP b	ecause i	it is
sţ	pecifically	provided fo	r in the fo	llowing I	LUP decis	sion(s):			

X The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions): Specifically, in the Bradshaw-Harquahala Record of Decision and Approved RMP, page 33, under Land Use Authorizations, LR-25 states, "Continue to issue land use authorizations (rights-of-way, leases, permits, easements) on a case-by-case basis and in accordance with resource management prescriptions in this land use plan."

#### **C:** Compliance with NEPA:

The Proposed Actions are categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 2, Appendix 1, or 516 DM 11.5: E. (9) Renewals and assignments of leases, permits, or rights-of-way where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted by the original authorizations; E. (19) Issuance of short-term (3 years or less) rights-of-way or land use authorizations for such uses as storage sites, apiary sites, and construction sites where the proposal includes rehabilitation to restore the land to its natural or original condition; J. (8) Installation of minor devices to protect human life (e.g., grates across mines).

These categorical exclusions are appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM 2 or 516 DM 11.5 apply.

D: Signature			
Authorizing Official:	/S/	_ Date: _	05/06/2013
_	D. Remington Hawes		
	Field Manager, HFO		

# **Contact Person**

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact: Jim Andersen (623-580-5570) jvanders@blm.gov

# BLM Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances<sup>1</sup> Attachment 1

The action has been reviewed to determine if any of the extraordinary circumstances (43						
CFR 46.215) apply. The project would:						
Have significant impacts on public health or safety						
Yes No Rationale:						
	X					
		ficant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic				
		stics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands;				
		or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural				
		; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands				
		e Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national				
		s; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically				
S	ignificant	or critical areas?				
Yes	No	Rationale:				
_						
	X					
	_	ly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts				
С	oncerning	g alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]?				
Yes	No	Rationale:				
	X					
4. H	Iave high	ly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve				
u	unique or unknown environmental risks?					
Yes No Rationale:		Rationale:				
	X					
5. E	establish a	a precedent for future action, or represent a decision in principle about				
fi	future actions, with potentially significant environmental effects?					
Yes	No	Rationale:				
	X					
6. H	Iave a dir	ect relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but				
cumulatively significant, environmental effects?						
Yes	No	Rationale:				
	X					
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing, on the						
National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the Bureau or office?						
Yes No Rationale:						
	X					

8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of							
Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated							
C	Critical Habitat for these species?						
Yes							
	X						
9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imp							
th	e protect	ion of the environment?					
Yes	No	Rationale:					
	10. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority						
p	opulation	s (Executive Order 12898)?					
Yes No Rationale:		Rationale:					
	X						
		ss to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by					
	Indian religious practitioners, or significantly adversely affect the physical						
		f such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?					
Yes	No	Rationale:					
_							
	X						
12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or							
non-native invasive species known to occur in the area, or actions that may							
promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species							
(Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?							
Yes	No	Rationale:					
	${f X}$						

# Approval and Decision Attachment 2

Compliance and assignment of responsibility: Jim Andersen Monitoring and assignment of responsibility: Jim Andersen

criteria and that it wo	ermined that the proposal is in accordance with uld not involve any significant environmental effection further environmental review.		
Prepared by:	/S/	Date:: _	04/30/13
	<b>Jim Andersen</b> Project Lead		
Reviewed by:	/S/	Date:: _	05/02/13
	<b>Leah Baker</b> Planning & Environmental Coordinator		
Reviewed by:	/S/	Date: _	05/06/13
·	<b>D. Remington Hawes</b> Manager		
Project Description:			
The issuance of a right emergency shut off va	t-of-way for the installation of a 14 gallon odoried live all enclosed by a 20' X 20' X 6' chain link fear term. Also issue a TUP (a one year term) for	ence. The R	
recommendations, I had plan and is categorical	review of the project described above and field ave determined that the project is in conformance by excluded from further environmental analysis proposed, with the attached mitigating measures	e with the lars. It is my dec	
	/S/	<b>Date:</b> _05/	06/2013

# <u>Mitigating Measures for the UNS Gas Renewal/Amendment/Temporary Use Permit</u> - AZA-17959/AZA1795901

- 1. All applicable regulations in accordance with 43 CFR 2880.
- 2. Any cultural and/or paleontological resources (historic or prehistoric site or object) discovered by the holder or any person working on the holders behalf, on public or federal land shall be immediately reported to the authorized officer. The holder shall suspend all operations in the immediate area of such discovery until written authorization to proceed is issued by the authorized officer. An evaluation of the discovery will be made the authorized officer to determine the appropriate actions to prevent the loss of significant cultural or scientific values. The holder will be responsible for the cost of the evaluation and any decision as to the proper mitigation measures will be made by the authorized officer after consulting with the holder.
- 3. All stipulations attached to the original grant remain in effect.
- 4. UNS Gas, Inc. shall abide by the prescriptions outlined in the "Pipeline Plan of Development" submitted with the SF-299 that was dated October 7, 2010.
- 5. UNS Gas, Inc. will be responsible for controlling noxious and invasive weeds. Trimming native vegetation shall be minimized to the greatest extent possible.